

ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ „СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ“

ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА

Том 100

ANNUAIRE DE L'UNIVERSITE DE SOFIA „ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI“

FACULTE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE

Tome 100

MODEL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LIE–GEIZENBERG ALGEBRA OF THREE LINEAR NON-SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

VICTORIYA A. KUZNETSOVA

This work is dedicated to the study of Lie algebra of linear non-selfadjoint operators $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ given by the relations $[A_1, A_2] = iA_3$; $[A_1, A_3] = 0$; $[A_2, A_3] = 0$, besides, we assume that none of the operators A_1, A_2, A_3 is dissipative. For Lie algebra $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ such that $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ given by the relations $[A_1, A_2] = iA_3$; $[A_1, A_3] = 0$; $[A_2, A_3] = 0$, take place, and when one of the operators is dissipative, the functional models were constructed earlier.

In Paragraph 1 it is shown that the open system corresponding to this Lie algebra $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$, $[A_1, A_2] = iA_3$; $[A_1, A_3] = 0$; $[A_2, A_3] = 0$, should be considered on the Lie – Geizenberg group $H(3)$. Paragraph 2 is dedicated to the construction of triangular model for this Lie algebra, A_1, A_3 in which are bounded, and A_2 is an unbounded operator. Note that even in the dissipative case such dissipative models haven't been constructed. Using the models from Paragraph 2, in the following Paragraph 3 functional models for the Lie algebra $[A_1, A_2] = iA_3$; $[A_1, A_3] = 0$; $[A_2, A_3] = 0$, of the special form and acting in the L. de Branges Hilbert space of whole functions are listed. In Paragraph 4 the special class of Lie algebras $[A_1, A_2] = iA_3$; $[A_1, A_3] = 0$; $[A_2, A_3] = 0$, having the reasonable model representations in L. de Branges spaces on Riemann surfaces is displayed.

Keywords: Functional models, L. de Branges transform, Lie algebra

2000 MSC: 47A48

1. LIE–GEIZENBERG GROUP

I. Following the works [4, 6] for the study of Lie algebra of linear non-selfadjoint operators $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ given by the commutation relations $[A_1, A_2] = iA_3$; $[A_1, A_3]$

$= 0$; $[A_2, A_3] = 0$, we ought to find such Lie group G , the Lie algebra $\{\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3\}$ of which is such that $[\partial_1, \partial_2] = \partial_3$, $[\partial_1, \partial_3] = 0$; $[\partial_2, \partial_3] = 0$. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the Lie – Geizenberg group $G = H(3)$ formed by the elements $g = g(x, y, z)$, the multiplication law in G is given by [8, 9]

$$g(x_1, y_1, z_1) \circ g(x_2, y_2, z_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 + x_1 y_2). \quad (1.1)$$

Hence it follows that every subgroup

$$G_1 = \{g(x, 0, 0) \in G\}; \quad G_2 = \{g(0, y, 0) \in G\}; \quad G_3 = \{g(0, 0, z) \in G\}; \quad (1.2)$$

is equivalent to the additive group of real numbers \mathbb{R} .

It is easy to prove that the group G is isomorphic to the following group of matrices of the third order

$$B_g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This immediately follows from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} B_{g_2} \cdot B_{g_1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & z_1 \\ 0 & 1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_2 & z_2 \\ 0 & 1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 + x_2 & z_1 + z_2 + x_1 y_2 \\ 0 & 1 & y_1 + y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= B_{g_1 \circ g_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a complex-valued function $f(g)$ on the group G , which means that we have a function $f(x, y, z)$ on \mathbb{R}^3 . Define one-parameter subgroup in G corresponding to G_1, G_2, G_3 (1.2),

$$g_1(t) = (t, 0, 0) \in G_1; \quad g_2(t) = (0, t, 0) \in G_2; \quad g_3(t) = (0, 0, t) \in G_3. \quad (1.3)$$

Find the vector fields corresponding to these subgroups

$$F_t^1 = f(g_1(t) \circ g(x, y, z)) = f(x + t, y, z + ty).$$

Therefore the derivative by t at the identity $e = (0, 0, 0)$ of group G of this function

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} F_t^1 \right|_{t=0} = \partial_1 f$$

where $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Since

$$F_t^2 = f(g_2(t) \circ g(x, y, z)) = f(x, y + t, z),$$

it is obvious that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} F_t^2 \right|_{t=0} = \partial_2 f,$$

besides,

$$\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Finally, taking into account

$$F_t^3 = f(g_3(t) \circ g(x, y, z)) = f(x, y, z_1 + t)$$

we obtain

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} F_t^3 \right|_{t=0} = \partial_3 f,$$

where $\partial_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Thus the Lie algebra of vector fields $h(3)$ corresponding to $G = H(3)$ is generated by the differential operators of first order

$$\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}; \quad \partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}; \quad \partial_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \quad (1.4)$$

Obviously, for this Lie algebra $h(3)$ the commutation relations

$$[\partial_2, \partial_1] = \partial_3; \quad [\partial_1, \partial_3] = 0; \quad [\partial_2, \partial_3] = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

take place. It is well-known [8, 9] that the simply connected Lie group $G = H(3)$ “uniquely” corresponds to this Lie algebra of differential operators (1.4).

II. Consider in a Hilbert space H the Lie algebra of linear operators $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ satisfying the relations

$$[A_1, A_2] = iA_3; \quad [A_1, A_3] = 0; \quad [A_2, A_3] = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Note that the operators A_1, A_2, A_3 cannot be bounded simultaneously. Otherwise, (1.6) yields

$$[A_1^n, A_2] = inA_1^{n-1}A_3$$

and thus $2\|A_1^n\| \cdot \|A_2\| \geq n\|A_3\| \|A_1^{n-1}\|$ ($\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). In connection with this it is sensible to rewrite the relations (1.6) in terms of resolvents,

$$R_3(w) [R_1(\lambda)R_2(z) - R_2(z)R_1(\lambda)] = iR_1^2(\lambda)R_2^2(z)R_3(w)w + iR_1^2(\lambda)R_2^2(z);$$

$$[R_1(\lambda), R_3(w)] = 0; \quad [R_2(z), R_3(w)] = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

where $R_1(\lambda) = (A_1 - \lambda I)^{-1}$; $R_2(z) = (A_2 - zI)^{-1}$; $R_3(w) = (A_3 - wI)^{-1}$; and λ, z, w are regularity points of the operators A_1, A_2, A_3 , respectively.

III. For the given Lie algebra $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ (1.6) of non-selfadjoint operators construct the colligation of Lie algebra [4, 5, 6].

Definition 1.1. A family

$$\Delta = \left(\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}; H; \varphi; E; \{\sigma_k\}_1^3; \{\gamma_{k,s}^-\}_1^3; \{\gamma_{k,s}^+\}_1^3 \right) \quad (1.8)$$

is said to be the colligation of Lie algebra if

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & [A_1, A_2] = iA_3; \quad [A_1, A_3] = 0; \quad [A_2, A_3] = 0; \\ 2) \quad & 2\text{Im} \langle A_k h, h \rangle = \langle \sigma_k \varphi h, \varphi h \rangle; \quad \forall h \in \vartheta(A_k); \\ 3) \quad & \sigma_k \varphi A_s - \sigma_s \varphi A_k = \gamma_{k,s}^+ \varphi; \quad \gamma_{k,s}^+ = -\gamma_{s,k}^+; \\ 4) \quad & \gamma_{k,s}^- = \gamma_{k,s}^+ + i(\sigma_s \varphi \varphi^* \sigma_k - \sigma_k \varphi \varphi^* \sigma_s); \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

for all k and s ($1 \leq k, s \leq 3$).

Relations (3.6) (§1.3) imply

$$\gamma_{1,3}^\pm = (\gamma_{1,3}^\pm)^*; \quad \gamma_{2,3}^\pm = (\gamma_{2,3}^\pm)^*; \quad \gamma_{1,2}^\pm - (\gamma_{1,2}^\pm)^* = i\sigma_3. \quad (1.10)$$

Consider the differential operators

$$\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad \partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}; \quad \partial_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}; \quad (1.11)$$

coinciding with operators (1.4) after the substitution $x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x$. It is obvious that the commutation relations (1.5) now are written in the following way:

$$[\partial_1, \partial_2] = \partial_3; \quad [\partial_1, \partial_3] = 0; \quad [\partial_2, \partial_3] = 0. \quad (1.12)$$

Equations of the open system (3.13), (3.14) (§1.3) are given by

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_k h(x, y, z) + A_k h(x, y, z) = \varphi^* \sigma_k u(x, y, z); \\ h(0) = h_0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq 3) \quad (x, y, z) \in G; \\ v(x, y, z) = u(x, y, z) - i\varphi h(x, y, z). \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

It is easy to show that $u(x, y, z)$ is the solution of the equation system

$$\left\{ \sigma_k i \partial_s - \sigma_s i \partial_k + \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\} u(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (1 \leq k, s \leq 3), \quad (1.14)$$

and the function $v(x, y, z)$ also satisfies the equation system

$$\left\{ \sigma_k i \partial_s - \sigma_s i \partial_k + \gamma_{k,s}^+ \right\} v(x, y, z) = 0 \quad (1 \leq k, s \leq 3). \quad (1.15)$$

If σ_1 is invertible, then relations eliminating the overdetermination of equation system (1.14) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad & [\sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2, \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_3] = 0; \\ 2. \quad & [\sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2, \sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,3}^-] - [\sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_3, \sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,2}^-] = i\sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_3; \\ 3. \quad & [\sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,2}^-, \sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,3}^-] = i\sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,3}^-. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

Moreover,

$$\gamma_{2,3}^- = \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,3}^- - \sigma_3 \sigma_1^{-1} \gamma_{1,2}^- . \quad (1.17)$$

Similar relations also take place for the family $\left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^+ \right\}_1^3$.

So, we assume that the operators $\gamma_{1,2}^-$, $\gamma_{1,3}^-$, for which (1.10) takes place, are specified and the operator $\gamma_{2,3}^-$ is specified by formula (1.17). Note that the self-adjointness of $\gamma_{2,3}^-$ automatically follows from 2. (1.16) and corresponding relations (1.10) for $\gamma_{1,3}^-$ and $\gamma_{1,2}^-$.

2. TRIANGULAR MODEL

I. Consider the colligation Δ (1.8) corresponding to the Lie algebra of linear operators $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ given by the commutation relations 1) (1.9) assuming that $\dim E = r < \infty$ and $\sigma_1 = J$ is an involution in E . Let the characteristic function $S_1(\lambda) = I - i\varphi(A_1 - \lambda I)^{-1} \varphi^* J$ be given by

$$S_1(\lambda) = \int_0^{\bar{l}} \exp \frac{iJdF_t}{\lambda}$$

where F_x is a non-decreasing function on $[0, l]$ such that $\text{tr} F_x = x$. Besides, we assume that measure dF_x is absolutely continuous, $dF_x = a_x dx$ ($\text{tra}_x = 1$). Define the Hilbert space $L_{r,l}^2(F_x)$ [1, 3]. Specify in this space the operator system

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathring{A}_1 f \right)_x &= i \int_x^l f_t a_t J dt; \\ \left(\mathring{A}_3 f \right)_x &= f_x J \gamma_{x,3} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t \sigma_3 dt; \\ \left(\mathring{A}_2 f \right)_x &= f'_x b_x + f_x J \gamma_{x,2} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t \sigma_2 dt; \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where b_x , $\gamma_{x,3}$, $\gamma_{x,2}$ are some operator-functions in E specified on $[0, l]$ and σ_2 , σ_3 are selfadjoint operators in E . The domain of definition $\mathcal{D}(A_2)$ is formed by the linear span of smooth functions in $L_{r,l}^2(F_x)$ such that A_1 , A_3 are bounded and A_2 is unbounded non-selfadjoint operator. Find the necessary and sufficient conditions on a_x , b_x , $\gamma_{x,3}$, $\gamma_{x,2}$, σ_2 , σ_3 for this operator system (2.1) to form the Lie algebra,

$$\left[\mathring{A}_1, \mathring{A}_3 \right] = 0; \quad \left[\mathring{A}_2, \mathring{A}_3 \right] = 0; \quad \left[\mathring{A}_1, \mathring{A}_2 \right] = i \mathring{A}_3 . \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to see [4] that the commutativity of operators $\left[\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right] = 0$ signifies that the operator-function $\gamma_{x,3}$ satisfies the relations

$$\begin{cases} \gamma'_{x,3} = i(Ja_x\sigma_3 - \sigma_3a_xJ); & \gamma_{0,3} = \gamma_{1,3}^+; \\ Ja_x\gamma_{x,3} = \gamma_{x,3}a_xJ. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Hence it follows [4] that

$$\overset{\circ}{A}_1 - \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* = i\overset{\circ}{\varphi}^* J \overset{\circ}{\varphi}, \quad \overset{\circ}{A}_3 - \overset{\circ}{A}_3^* = i\overset{\circ}{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \quad (2.4)$$

and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} J \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_3 - \sigma_3 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_1 &= \gamma_{1,3}^+ \overset{\circ}{\varphi}; \\ \gamma_{1,3}^- &= \gamma_{1,3}^+ + i \left(\sigma_3 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{\varphi}^* J - J \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\gamma_{1,3}^- = \gamma_{x,3}|_{x=l}$ and the operator $\overset{\circ}{\varphi}$ from $L^2_{r,l}(F_x)$ into E is given by

$$\left(\overset{\circ}{\varphi} f \right)_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^l f_t dF_t. \quad (2.6)$$

Note that (2.4), (2.5) coincide, respectively, with the conditions of colligation 1), 3) 4) (1.9).

II. Find the conditions on $a_x, b_x, \gamma_{x,3}, \gamma_{x,2}$ for the relation

$$\left[\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2 \right] = i \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \quad (2.7)$$

to hold. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\overset{\circ}{A}_1 \overset{\circ}{A}_2 f \right)_x &= i \int_x^l f'_t b_t a_t dt J + i \int_x^l f_t J \gamma_{t,2} a_t dt J - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds f_s a_s \sigma_2 a_t J = \\ &= -i f_x b_x a_x J - i \int_x^l f_t (b_t a_t)' dt J + i \int_x^l f_t J \gamma_{t,2} a_t dt J - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds f_s a_s \sigma_2 a_t J, \end{aligned}$$

in view of the fact that $f_l = 0$. Similarly,

$$\left(\overset{\circ}{A}_2 \overset{\circ}{A}_1 f \right)_x = -i f_x a_x J b_x + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \gamma_{x,2} - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds f_s a_s J a_t \sigma_2.$$

Consider the vector-function Φ_x in $L_{r,l}^2(F_x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \left[\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2 \right] - i \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right\} f_x = -i f_x [b_x a_x J - a_x J b_x + J \gamma_{x,3}] - i \int_x^l f_t (b_t a_t)' dt J + \\ + i \int_x^l f_t J \gamma_{t,2} a_t dt J - i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \gamma_{x,2} - i^2 \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_3 - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds f_s a_s (\sigma_2 a_t J - J a_t \sigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$$b_x a_x J - a_x J b_x + J \gamma_{x,3} = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

and let $\gamma_{x,2}$ be differentiable, then it is easy to see that the derivative of function Φ_x is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_x = i f_x (b_x a_x)' J - i f_x J \gamma_{x,2} a_x J + i f_x a_x \gamma_{x,2} + i f_x a_x \sigma_3 - \\ - i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \gamma'_{x,2} + \int_x^l f_t a_t dt (\sigma_2 a_x J - J a_x \sigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that $\Phi'_x = 0$ if

$$\begin{cases} (b_x a_x)' J - J \gamma_{x,2} a_x J + a_x \gamma_{x,2} + i a_x \sigma_3 = 0; \\ i \gamma'_{x,2} = \sigma_2 a_x J - J a_x \sigma_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Thus, $\Phi'_x = 0$, and since $\Phi_l = 0$, then $\Phi_x \equiv 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that (2.8), (2.9) take place, then the operator system $\left\{ \overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right\}$ (2.1) satisfies the commutation relation (2.7).*

III. Prove that condition 3) (1.9) is true for $\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2$ (2.1). To do this, calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(J \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_2 - \sigma_2 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_1 \right) f_x = \int_0^l \left(f'_x b_x + f_x J \gamma_{x,2} + \int_x^l f_t a_t \sigma_2 dt \right) a_x dx J - \\ - \int_0^l i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt J a_x dx \sigma_2 = \\ = \int_0^l f_x \left\{ J \gamma_{x,2} a_x J - (b_x a_x)' J + i a_x \int_0^x (\sigma_2 a_t J - J a_t \sigma_2) dt \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality in (2.9) implies

$$\gamma_{x,2} = \gamma_{1,2}^+ - i\sigma_3 + i \int_0^x (Ja_t\sigma_2 - \sigma_2a_tJ) dt. \quad (2.11)$$

Here we use the equality

$$\gamma_{1,2}^+ - (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* = i\sigma_3 \quad (2.12)$$

taking place in virtue of (1.10) §3.1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(J \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_2 - \sigma_2 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_1 \right) f_x = \\ &= \int_0^l f_x \{ J\gamma_{x,2}a_xJ - (b_xa_x)' J + a_x\gamma_{1,2}^+ - ia_x\sigma_3 - a_x\gamma_{x,2} \} dx = \\ &= \int_x^l f_x a_x dx \gamma_{1,2}^+ = \gamma_{1,2}^+ \left(\overset{\circ}{\varphi} f \right)_x \end{aligned}$$

in virtue of the first condition in (2.9) and definition (2.6) of the operator $\overset{\circ}{\varphi}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let the family $\{a_x, b_x, \gamma_{x,2}, \gamma_{x,3}, J, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ be such that (2.8), (2.9) are true and, moreover, $\gamma_{x,2}$, solution of the second equation in (2.9) satisfies the initial condition $\gamma_{0,2} = (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^*$, besides, $\gamma_{1,2}^+ - (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* = i\sigma_3$ (2.12). Then the colligation relation 3) (1.9)*

$$J \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_2 - \sigma_2 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \overset{\circ}{A}_1 = \gamma_{1,2}^+ \overset{\circ}{\varphi} \quad (2.13)$$

is true.

IV. Study when the colligation relation 2) (1.9) takes place for the operator $\overset{\circ}{A}_2$ (2.1). Calculate the expression

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Im} \left\langle \overset{\circ}{A}_2 f, f \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^l \left[f'_x b_x + f_x J\gamma_{x,2} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_2 \right] a_x f_x^* dx - \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^l dx f_x a_x \left[b_x^* (f_x^*)' + \gamma_{x,2}^* J f_x^* - i \int_x^l \sigma_2 a_t f_t^* dt \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^l [f'_x b_x a_x f_x^* - f_x a_x b_x^* (f_x^*)' + f_x J\gamma_{x,2} a_x f_x^* - f_x a_x \gamma_{x,2}^* J f_x^*] dx + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^l \left\{ \int_x^l f_t a_t \sigma_2 dt a_x f_x^* + f_x a_x \int_x^l \sigma_2 a_t f_t^* dt \right\} dx.$$

Obviously, the second integral after the transfer of the order of integration is

$$\int_0^l f_x a_x dx \sigma_2 \int_0^l a_t f_t^* dt = \langle \sigma_2 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} f, \overset{\circ}{\varphi} f \rangle$$

in virtue of the definition of operator $\overset{\circ}{\varphi}$ (2.6). So, for the colligation relation 2)

(1.9) to hold for $\overset{\circ}{A}_2$, one has to ascertain when the first integral vanishes.

The integrand of this integral equals

$$\Psi_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_x' b_x a_x f_x^* - f_x a_x b_x^* (f_x^*)' + f_x J \gamma_{x,2} a_x f_x^* - f_x a_x (\gamma_{x,2} + i \sigma_3) J f_x$$

in virtue of $\gamma_{x,2}^* - \gamma_{x,2} = i \sigma_3$. This easily follows from (2.11). Thus,

$$\Psi_x = f_x' b_x a_x f_x^* - f_x a_x b_x^* (f_x^*)' + f_x (a_x b_x)' f_x^*,$$

we took into account the first equality in (2.9).

Let the condition

$$a_x b_x^* = b_x a_x \tag{2.14}$$

hold, then $\Psi_x = (f b_x a_x f_x^*)'$ and thus

$$\int_0^l \Psi_t dt = 0$$

since $f_0 = f_l = 0$ for $f_x \in \mathcal{D}(A_2)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that for the family $\{a_x, b_x, \gamma_{x,2}, \gamma_{x,3}, J, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ (2.8), (2.9) are true and $\gamma_{x,2}$ as the solution of the second equation in (2.9) is such that $\gamma_{0,2} = \gamma_{1,2}^+$ and (2.12) takes place. Then, if (2.14) holds $\forall f_x \in \mathcal{D}(A_2)$, the colligation relation*

$$2\text{Im} \langle \overset{\circ}{A}_2 f, f \rangle = \langle \sigma_2 \overset{\circ}{\varphi} f, \overset{\circ}{\varphi} f \rangle \tag{2.15}$$

is true.

V. Study the interchangeability (2.2) of operators $\overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3$ (2.1). It is easy to see that

$$\overset{\circ}{A}_2 \overset{\circ}{A}_3 f_x = \left(f_x J \gamma_{x,3} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_3 \right)' b_x + \left(f_x J \gamma_{x,3} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_3 \right) J \gamma_{x,2} +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +i \int_x^l \left(f_t J\gamma_{t,3} + i \int_t^l f_s a_s ds \sigma_3 \right) a_t \sigma_2 dt = f_x J\gamma_{x,3} b_x + f_x J\gamma'_{x,3} b_x - i f_x a_x \sigma_3 b_x + \\
& + f_x J\gamma_{x,3} J\gamma_{x,2} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_3 J\gamma_{x,2} + i \int_x^l f_t J\gamma_{t,3} a_t \sigma_2 dt - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds a_s \sigma_3 a_t \sigma_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\circ}{A}_3 \overset{\circ}{A}_2 f_x & = \left(f'_x b_x + f_x J\gamma_{x,2} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_2 \right) J\gamma_{x,3} + \\
& + i \int_x^l \left(f'_t b_t + f_t J\gamma_{t,2} + i \int_t^l f_s a_s ds \sigma_2 \right) a_t \sigma_3 dt = f'_x b_x J\gamma_{x,3} + f_x J\gamma_{x,2} J\gamma_{x,3} + \\
& + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \sigma_2 J\gamma_{x,3} - i \int_x^l f_t (b_t a_t)' \sigma_3 dt + i \int_x^l f_t J\gamma_{t,2} a_t \sigma_3 dt - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds a_s \sigma_2 a_t \sigma_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus function G_x from $L^2_{r,l}(F_x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
G_x & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right] f_x = f'_x [J\gamma_{x,3} b_x - b_x J\gamma_{x,3}] + \\
& + f_x \{ J\gamma'_{x,3} b_x - i a_x \sigma_3 b_x + J\gamma_{x,2} J\gamma_{x,3} + i b_x a_x \sigma_3 \} + i \int_x^l f_t a_t dt [\sigma_3 J\gamma_{x,2} - \sigma_2 J\gamma_{x,3}] + \\
& + i \int_x^l f_t [J\gamma_{t,3} a_t \sigma_2 - J\gamma_{t,2} a_t \sigma_3] dt - \int_x^l dt \int_t^l ds a_s (\sigma_3 a_t \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 a_t \sigma_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the equalities

$$\begin{cases} J\gamma_{x,3} b_x = b_x J\gamma_{x,3}; \\ J\gamma'_{x,3} b_x + i b_x a_x \sigma_3 - i a_x \sigma_3 b_x + J\gamma_{x,3} J\gamma_{x,2} - J\gamma_{x,2} J\gamma_{x,3} \end{cases}$$

hold. Then, taking into account smoothness of $\gamma_{x,2}$ and $\gamma_{x,3}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
G'_x & = -i f_x \{ a_x \sigma_3 \gamma_{x,2} - a_x \sigma_2 J\gamma_{x,3} + J\gamma_{x,3} a_x \sigma_2 - J\gamma_{x,2} a_x \sigma_3 \} + \\
& + \int_x^l f_t a_t dt \{ i [\sigma_3 J\gamma_{x,2} - \sigma_2 J\gamma_{x,3}]' + \sigma_3 a_x \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 a_x \sigma_3 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Requirement $G'_x = 0$ leads to the equalities

$$\begin{cases} a_x \sigma_3 J \gamma_{x,2} - J \gamma_{x,2} a_x \sigma_3 + J \gamma_{x,3} a_x \sigma_2 - a_x \sigma_2 J \gamma_{x,3} = 0; \\ \sigma_3 J \gamma'_{x,2} - \sigma_2 J \gamma'_{x,3} = i (\sigma_3 a_x \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 a_x \sigma_3). \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

Since $G_l = 0$, hence it follows that $G_x \equiv 0$. As a result, we obtain the statement.

Lemma 2.4. *If relations (2.16), (2.17) hold for the family $\{a_x, b_x, \gamma_{x,2}, \gamma_{x,3}, J, \sigma_2 \sigma_3\}$, then the operators $\overset{\circ}{A}_2$ and $\overset{\circ}{A}_3$ commute,*

$$\left[\overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right] = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Observation 2.1. *Last equality in (2.17) is the obvious corollary of equations for $\gamma_{x,2}$ (2.9) and $\gamma_{x,3}$ (2.3) since*

$$\sigma_3 J i (J a_x \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 a_x J) - \sigma_2 J i (J a_x \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 a_x J) = i (\sigma_3 a_x \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 a_x \sigma_3)$$

in virtue of 1. (1.6). Note that this fact is completely coordinated with (1.17).

VI. Summarizing considerations of previous clauses, we obtain the following

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose operators $\{a_x, b_x, \gamma_{x,2}, \gamma_{x,3}, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ in E are such that:*

- 1) $\gamma_{x,3}$ satisfies relations (2.3);
- 2) $\gamma_{x,3} = J a_x J b_x - J b_x a_x J$;
- 3) $(b_x a_x)' = J \gamma_{x,2} a_x - a_x \gamma_{x,2} J - i a_x \sigma_3 J$;
- 4) $\gamma'_{x,2} = i (J a_x \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 a_x J)$; $\gamma_{0,2} = (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^*$;

and $\gamma_{1,2} - \gamma_{1,2}^ = i \sigma_3$. Moreover,*

- 5) $J \gamma_{x,3} b_x = b_x J \gamma_{x,3}$;
- 6) $J \gamma'_{x,3} b_x = [J \gamma_{x,2}, J \gamma_{x,3}] + i [a_x \sigma_3, b_x]$;
- 7) $[a_x \sigma_3, J \gamma_{x,2}] - [a_x \sigma_2, J \gamma_{x,3}] = 0$

take place. Then the family

$$\overset{\circ}{\Delta} = \left(\left\{ \overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right\}; L_{r,l}^2(F_x); \overset{\circ}{\varphi}; E; \{\sigma_k\}_1^3; \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\}_1^3; \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^+ \right\}_1^3 \right) \quad (2.21)$$

is the colligation of Lie algebra (1.8)–(1.9) where $\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3$ are given by (2.1) and $\overset{\circ}{\varphi}$, respectively, by (2.6), besides, $\gamma_{1,k}^- = \gamma_{x,k}|_{x=1}$ ($k = 2, 3$), the operators $\gamma_{k,s}^\pm$ when $s \neq 1$ are given by formula (1.17) and $\sigma_1 = J$ is an involution.

Now use the theorem on unitary equivalence [1, 2].

Theorem 2.2. *Let Δ , simple colligation of Lie algebra (1.8), (1.9), be given by (1.16), (1.17). If the spectrum of operator A_1 is concentrated at zero and the characteristic function $S_1(\lambda) = I - i\varphi(A_1 - \lambda I)^{-1}\varphi^*J$ is given by*

$$S_1(\lambda) = \int_0^{\bar{l}} \exp \frac{iJdF_t}{\lambda},$$

besides, dF_x is absolutely continuous, $dF_x = a_x dx$, and a_x is such that for the family $\{a_x, b_x, \gamma_{x,2}, \gamma_{x,3}, J, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ (2.19), (2.20) take place, then the colligation Δ is unitarily equivalent to the simple part of colligation $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ (2.21).

3. FUNCTIONAL MODEL OF LIE ALGEBRA

I. Consider the triangular model (2.1) of Lie algebra of linear operators $\left\{ \overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_2, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right\}$ (2.2) assuming that $\dim E = 2$ and $J = J_N$ is given by

$$J_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.0)$$

Under the action of the L. de Branges transform [3, 7], the operator $\overset{\circ}{A}_1$ (2.1) turns into the shift operator in $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ since

$$\mathcal{B}_L \left(\overset{\circ}{A}_1 f_t \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^l \left\{ i \int_t^l f_s dF_s J \right\} dF_t L_t^*(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^l f_t dF_t \left\{ \frac{L_t^*(\bar{z}) - L_t^*(0)}{z} \right\}^*$$

and thus operator $\overset{\circ}{A}_1$ after the transform \mathcal{B}_L turns into \tilde{A}_1 ,

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \frac{F(z) - F(0)}{z}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $F(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_L(f_t)$. To calculate $\mathcal{B}_L \left(\overset{\circ}{A}_3 f_t \right)$ and $\mathcal{B}_L \left(\overset{\circ}{A}_2 f_t \right)$, note that

$$L_t(z) = \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0). \quad (3.2)$$

Since

$$\mathcal{B}_L \left(\overset{\circ}{A}_k f_t \right) = \left\langle \overset{\circ}{A}_k f_t, L_t(\bar{z}) \right\rangle = \left\langle f_t, \overset{\circ}{A}_k^* L_t(\bar{z}) \right\rangle$$

($k = 2, 3$), then using (3.2) we ought to find the expressions

$$\mathring{A}_3^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0); \quad \mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0). \quad (3.3)$$

Commutativity of $\left[\mathring{A}_1, \mathring{A}_3 \right]$, the colligation relation $J\tilde{\varphi} \mathring{A}_3 = \sigma_3 \tilde{\varphi} \mathring{A}_1 + \gamma_{1,3}^+ \tilde{\varphi}$, and the self-adjointness of $\gamma_{1,3}^+ = (\gamma_{1,3}^+)^*$ (1.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}_3^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* &= \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \mathring{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J + \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J = \\ &= \frac{\left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} - I}{z} \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J + \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, expression (3.3) for the operator \mathring{A}_3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}_3^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) &= \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* - \tilde{\varphi}^* \right\} \sigma_3 J(1, 0) + \\ &+ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J(1, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Expand $\sigma_3 J(1, 0)$ and $\gamma_{1,3}^+ J(1, 0)$ in terms of the basis $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ in E^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3 J(1, 0) &= \bar{\alpha}_3(1, 0) + \bar{\beta}_3(0, 1); \\ \gamma_{1,3}^+ J(1, 0) &= \bar{\mu}_3(1, 0) + \bar{\nu}_3(0, 1); \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_3 &= (1, 0) \sigma_3 J \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \bar{\beta}_3 = (1, 0) \sigma_3 J \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \bar{\mu}_3 &= (1, 0) \gamma_{1,3}^+ J \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \bar{\nu}_3 = (1, 0) \gamma_{1,3}^+ J \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

As a result, we obtain that expression (3.4) can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}_3^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) &= \bar{\alpha}_3 \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* - \tilde{\varphi}^* \right\} (1, 0) + \\ &+ \bar{\beta}_3 \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* - \tilde{\varphi}^* \right\} (0, 1) + \bar{\mu}_3 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) + \\ &+ \bar{\nu}_3 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(0, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Along with the integral equation

$$L_x(z) + iz \int_0^x L_t(z) dF_t J = (1, 0) \quad (3.8)$$

for $L_x(z)$, consider the integral equation

$$N_x(z) + iz \int_0^x N_t(z) dF_t J = (0, 1) \quad (3.9)$$

for the row vector $N_x(z)$ [3, 7].

Thus expression (3.7) can be written as

$$\overset{\circ}{A}_3^* L_t(\bar{z}) = \bar{\alpha} \frac{L_t(\bar{z}) - L_t(0)}{\bar{z}} + \bar{\beta}_3 \frac{N_t(\bar{z}) - N_t(0)}{\bar{z}} + \bar{\mu}_3 L_t(\bar{z}) + \bar{\vartheta}_3 N_t(\bar{z}). \quad (3.10)$$

Construct the L. de Branges space $\mathcal{B}(C, D)$ [3, 7] by the row vector $N_x(z) = [C_x(z), D_x(z)]$ and specify the L. de Branges space \mathcal{B}_L from $L_{2,l}^2(F_x)$ onto $\mathcal{B}(C, D)$ using the formula

$$G(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_N(f_t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^l f_t dF_t N_t^*(\bar{z}). \quad (3.11)$$

A function $G(z) \in \mathcal{B}(C, D)$ is said to be **dual** to $F(z) \in \mathcal{B}(A, B)$ if

$$F(z) = \mathcal{B}_L(f_t), \quad G(z) = \mathcal{B}_N(f_t). \quad (3.12)$$

Using these notations and (3.10), we obtain that the operator $\overset{\circ}{A}_3$ after the L. de Branges transform equals

$$\tilde{A}_3 F(z) = \frac{\alpha_3 F(z) + \beta_3 G(z) - \alpha_3 F(0) - \beta_3 G(0)}{\bar{z}} + \mu_3 F(z) + \vartheta_3 G(z) \quad (3.13)$$

where the complex numbers $\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \vartheta_3$ are given by (3.6) and functions $F(z)$ and $G(z)$, respectively, equal (3.12).

Observation 3.1. *Generally speaking, function $G(z)$ (3.12) does not belong to the space $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ but, nevertheless, there exist such numbers $\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \vartheta_3$ (3.6) from \mathbb{C} that the expressions*

$$\mu_3 F(z) + \vartheta_3 G(z); \quad \frac{\alpha_3 F(z) + \beta_3 G(z) - \alpha_3 F(0) - \beta_3 G(0)}{\bar{z}}$$

belong to the space $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$. Besides, numbers $\alpha_3, \beta_3, \mu_3, \vartheta_3$ do not depend on $F(z) \in \mathcal{B}(A, B)$.

To obtain the formula similar to (3.13) for \tilde{A}_2 , it is necessary, in virtue of (3.3), to calculate the expression $\mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0)$.

The commutation relation $\left[\mathring{A}_1, \mathring{A}_2 \right] = i \mathring{A}_3$ implies

$$\mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} - \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \mathring{A}_2^* = iz \mathring{A}_3^*,$$

therefore

$$\mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} = \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \mathring{A}_2^* - iz \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \mathring{A}_3^*$$

in virtue of $\left[\mathring{A}_3, \mathring{A}_1 \right] = 0$. Taking into account the colligation relation $J\tilde{\varphi} \mathring{A}_2 = \sigma_2 \tilde{\varphi} \mathring{A}_1 + \gamma_{1,2}^+ \tilde{\varphi}$, $J\tilde{\varphi} \mathring{A}_3 = \sigma_3 \tilde{\varphi} \mathring{A}_1 + \gamma_{1,3}^+ \tilde{\varphi}$ from (1.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* &= \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \mathring{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_2 J + \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J - \\ &- iz \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \mathring{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J - iz \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J. \end{aligned}$$

Use an obvious equality

$$z \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \mathring{A}_1^* = \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} - I,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* &= \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* - \tilde{\varphi}^* \right\} \sigma_2 J + \\ &+ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J - iz \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \mathring{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J - \\ &- iz^2 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \mathring{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J + iz \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Similar to (3.5), expand the vectors $\sigma_2 J(1, 0)$ and $(\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J(1, 0)$ in terms of the basis $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ in E^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 J(1, 0) &= \bar{\alpha}_2(1, 0) + \bar{\beta}_2(0, 1); \\ (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J(1, 0) &= \bar{\mu}_2(1, 0) + \bar{\vartheta}_2(0, 1); \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}_2 &= (1, 0)\sigma_2 J \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; & \bar{\beta}_2 &= (1, 0)\sigma_2 J \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \\ \bar{\mu}_2 &= (1, 0)(\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; & \bar{\vartheta}_2 &= (1, 0)(\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.16)$$

Then we obtain that expression (3.14) equals

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{A}_2^* \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) &= \bar{\alpha}_2 \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* - \tilde{\varphi}^* \right\} (1, 0) + \\ &+ \bar{\beta}_2 \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* - \tilde{\varphi}^* \right\} (0, 1) + \bar{\mu}_2 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) + \\ &+ \bar{\vartheta}_2 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(0, 1) - iz\bar{\alpha}_3 \frac{d}{dz} \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) - \\ &- iz\bar{\beta}_3 \frac{d}{dz} \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) - iz^2\bar{\mu}_3 \frac{d}{dz} \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) - \\ &- iz^2\bar{\vartheta}_3 \frac{d}{dz} \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) + iz\bar{\mu}_3 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0) + \\ &+ iz\bar{\vartheta}_3 \left(I - z \mathring{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0).\end{aligned}\quad (3.17)$$

Using the definition of $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ (3.12), we obtain that the operator \mathring{A}_2 after the L. de Branges transform turns into the operator \tilde{A}_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_2 F(z) &= \frac{\bar{\alpha}_2 F(z) + \beta_2 G(z) - \alpha_2 F(0) - \beta_2 G(0)}{\bar{z}} + \mu_2 F(z) + \vartheta_2 G(z) - \\ &- iz \frac{d}{dz} \{ \alpha_3 F(z) + \beta_3 G(z) \} - iz^2 \frac{d}{dz} \{ \mu_3 F(z) + \vartheta_3 G(z) \} + iz \{ \mu_3 F(z) + \vartheta_3 G(z) \},\end{aligned}\quad (3.18)$$

which in elementary way follows from (3.17).

Observation 3.2. *The dual function $G(z)$ to $F(z)$ does not necessarily belong to the space $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ but, nevertheless, there always exist such constants $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_2, \beta_3, \mu_2, \mu_3, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3$ from \mathbb{C} (not depending on $F(z)$) that the expressions*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\alpha_2 F(z) + \beta_2 G(z) - \alpha_2 F(0) - \beta_2 G(0)}{\bar{z}}; & F(z) (\mu_2 + iz\mu_3) + G(z) (\vartheta_2 + iz\vartheta_3); \\ z \frac{d}{dz} \{ \alpha_3 F(z) + \beta_3 G(z) \}; & z^2 \frac{d}{dz} \{ \mu_3 F(z) + \vartheta_3 G(z) \}\end{aligned}$$

already belong to $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$.

Define the operator $\tilde{\varphi}$ from $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ into E^2 by the formula

$$\tilde{\varphi}F(z) \langle F(z), e_1(z) \rangle (1, 0) + \langle F(z), e_2(z) \rangle (0, 1) \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$e_1(z) = \frac{B_l^*(z)}{z}; \quad e_2(z) = 1 - A_l^*(z)z. \quad (3.20)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let Δ be the simple colligation of Lie algebra (1.8), (1.9), spectrum of the operator A_1 be concentrated at zero and the characteristic function $S_1(\lambda) = I - i\varphi(A_1 - \lambda I)^{-1}\varphi^*J$ be given by*

$$S_1(\lambda) = \int_0^{\bar{l}} \exp \frac{iJdF_t}{\lambda}.$$

Besides, measure dF_x is absolutely continuous, $dF_x = a_x dx$, $a_x \geq 0$, a_x is matrix-function in E^2 , and J is given by (3.0). And, moreover, let the selfadjoint operators $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \gamma_{1,3}^+$ be given in E^2 , the operator $\gamma_{1,2}^+$ be such that $\gamma_{1,2}^+ - (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^ = i\sigma_3$, and (1.16), (1.7) take place. Then the colligation Δ (1.8) is unitarily equivalent to the functional model*

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \left(\left\{ \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{A}_3 \right\}; \mathcal{B}(A, B); \tilde{\varphi}; \{J, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}; \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^+ \right\}_1^3; \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\}_1^3 \right) \quad (3.21)$$

where the operators $\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{A}_3$ are given by (3.1), (3.13), (3.18) respectively; operator $\tilde{\varphi}$ equals (3.19); the numbers $\{\alpha_k, \beta_k, \mu_k, \vartheta_k\}_2^3$ are given by the formulas (3.6), (3.15); and, finally, $\{e_k(z)\}_1^2$ are given by (3.20).

4. FUNCTIONAL MODELS ON RIEMANN SURFACE

I. Let $\dim E = r < \infty$, and $\sigma_1 = J$ be an involution, then the relation [4, 5, 6]

$$J \left(\sigma_2 + z (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* \right) J \left(\sigma_3 + z \gamma_{1,3}^+ \right) = J \left(\sigma_3 + z \gamma_{1,3}^+ \right) J \left(\sigma_2 + z \gamma_{1,2}^+ \right) \quad (4.1)$$

is true $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$. We used the fact that $\gamma_{1,2}^+ = (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* + i\sigma_3$ in virtue of (1.16) §3.1. Suppose that $\dim E = r = 2n$ is even and the matrix-function in E specified on $[0, l]$ equals

$$a_x = I_n \otimes \hat{a}_x \quad (4.2)$$

where I_n is the unit operator in E^n , \hat{a}_x is the non-negative (2×2) matrix-function such that $\text{tr} \hat{a}_x = n^{-1}$. Knowing $dF_x = a_x dx$, define the Hilbert space $L_{2n,l}^2(F_x)$ formed by the vector-functions $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ such that

$$\int_0^l f_k(x) \hat{a}_x f_k^*(x) dx < \infty$$

$\forall k (1 \leq k \leq n)$, besides, $f_k(x)$ is a row vector from $E^2 (x \in [0, l])$.

Let the operators $\sigma_1 (= J)$, σ_2 , σ_3 and $\gamma_{1,3}^+$, $\gamma_{1,2}^-$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 = J = I_n \otimes J_N; \quad \sigma_2 = \tilde{\sigma}_2 \otimes J_N; \quad \sigma_3 = \tilde{\sigma}_3 \otimes J_N; \\ \gamma_{1,3}^+ = \tilde{\gamma}_3 \otimes J_N; \quad \gamma_{1,2}^- = \tilde{\gamma}_2 \otimes J_N \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\sigma}_3, \tilde{\gamma}_3$ are selfadjoint operators in E^n , and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ is such that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_2 - \tilde{\gamma}_2^* = i\tilde{\sigma}_3. \quad (4.4)$$

Then the conditions (1.10) §1 hold. Equality (4.1) in terms of $\{\tilde{\sigma}_k, \tilde{\gamma}_k\}_1^3$ is written in the following way:

$$(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2^*)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) = (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2). \quad (4.5)$$

The L. de Branges transform \mathcal{B}_L [3, 7] of a vector-function $f(x)$ from $L_{2n,l}^2(F_x)$ associates each of its components $f_k(x) \in L_{2,l}^2(\hat{a}_x dx)$ (here $dF_x = a_x dx$ and a_x is given by (4.2)) with the function

$$F_k(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{B}_L(f_k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^l f_k(x) \hat{a}_x L_x^*(\bar{z}) dx \quad (4.6)$$

from the L. de Branges $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$, besides, $L_x(z)$ is the solution of the integral equation (3.8) by the measure $\hat{a}_x dx$. As a result, we obtain the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}^n(A, B) = E^n \otimes \mathcal{B}(A, B)$ formed by the vector-functions $F(z) = (F_1(z), \dots, F_n(z))$,

$$\mathcal{B}^n(A, B) = \{F(z) = (F_1(z), \dots, F_n(z)) : F_k(z) \in \mathcal{B}(A, B) (1 \leq k \leq n)\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Scalar product in $\mathcal{B}^n(A, B)$ is given by

$$\langle F(z), G(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{B}^n(A, B)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle F_k(z), G_k(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(A, B)}.$$

Taking into account the form of the matrix-function a_x (4.2) and the operator σ_1 (4.3), it is easy to show that the L. de Branges transform (4.6) translates the triangular model $\overset{\circ}{A}_1$ (2.1) in the shift operator

$$\left(\tilde{A}_1 F \right) (z) = \frac{1}{z} (F(z) - F(0)), \quad (4.8)$$

$\forall F(z) \in \mathcal{B}^n(A, B)$. To obtain the model representation for $\overset{\circ}{A}_3$ in the space $\mathcal{B}^n(A, B)$, use that

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{A}_3^* \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* &= \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \overset{\circ}{A}_3^* \tilde{\varphi}^* = \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J - \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J \right\} + \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* (\gamma_{1,3}^+)^* J \end{aligned}$$

in virtue of (2.5), §3.2, $\left[\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \overset{\circ}{A}_3 \right] = 0$ (2.2), §2 and selfadjointness of $\gamma_{1,3}^+$.

The form of the operators $J, \sigma_3, \gamma_{1,3}^+$ (4.3) yields

$$\sigma_3 J = \tilde{\sigma}_3 \otimes I_2; \quad \gamma_{1,3}^+ J = \tilde{\gamma}_3 \otimes I_2. \quad (4.9)$$

Taking into account that $L_x(z) = (I - zA_1^*)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^*(1, 0)$, we obtain that the operator $\overset{\circ}{A}_3$ (2.1) after the L. de Branges transform \mathcal{B}_L (4.6) is given by

$$\left(\tilde{A}_3 F \right) (z) = \frac{1}{z} (F(z) - F(0)) \sigma_3 + F(z) \tilde{\gamma}_3. \quad (4.10)$$

Thus

$$\tilde{A}_3 F(z) = \frac{1}{z} \{ F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z \tilde{\gamma}_3) - F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z \tilde{\gamma}_3)|_0 \} \quad (4.11)$$

where, as always, $F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z \tilde{\gamma}_3)|_0 = F(0) \tilde{\sigma}_3$.

To find the representation for $\overset{\circ}{A}_2$ (2.1) in $\mathcal{B}^n(A, B)$ similar to (4.8), (4.11), note that $\overset{\circ}{A}_2^* \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* - \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \overset{\circ}{A}_2^* = i \overset{\circ}{A}_3^*$ (in virtue of (2.2), §2), therefore

$$\left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \overset{\circ}{A}_2^* - \overset{\circ}{A}_2^* \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} = iz \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \overset{\circ}{A}_3^*. \quad (4.12)$$

Taking into account (2.5) and (2.13), §2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{A}_2^* \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* &= \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \overset{\circ}{A}_2^* \tilde{\varphi}^* - iz \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-2} \overset{\circ}{A}_3^* \tilde{\varphi}^* = \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_2 J - \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_2 J \right\} + \\ &\quad - iz \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J - \\ &\quad - iz \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \left\{ \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right)^{-1} \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J + \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \right) \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In connection with $\left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} = z \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* - I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{A}_2^* \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* &= \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_2 J - \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_2 J \right\} + \\ &+ \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* (\gamma_{1,2}^+)^* J - iz \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-2} \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \sigma_3 J - \\ &- iz^2 \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-2} \overset{\circ}{A}_1^* \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J - iz \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}^* \gamma_{1,3}^+ J. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sigma_2 J = \tilde{\sigma}_2 \otimes I_2; \quad \gamma_{1,2}^+ J = \tilde{\gamma}_2 \otimes I_2, \quad (4.13)$$

then using (4.9) and $\frac{d}{dz} \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-1} = \left(I - z \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*\right)^{-2} \overset{\circ}{A}_1^*$, we obtain that the operator $\overset{\circ}{A}_2$ (2.1) after the L. de Branges transform (4.6) in the space $\mathcal{B}^n(A, B)$ is given by

$$\left(\tilde{A}_2 F\right)(z) = \frac{1}{z} \{F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z \tilde{\gamma}_2) - F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z \tilde{\gamma}_2)|_0\} + iz \frac{d}{dz} F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z \tilde{\gamma}_3), \quad (4.14)$$

besides, $F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z \tilde{\gamma}_2)|_0 = F(0) \tilde{\sigma}_2$.

Now define the colligation of Lie algebra (1.8), (1.9)

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \left(\left\{ \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{A}_3 \right\}; \mathcal{B}^n(A, B); \tilde{\varphi}; E; \{\sigma_k\}; \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\}_1^3; \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^+ \right\}_1^3 \right) \quad (4.15)$$

assuming that the operators $\left\{ \sigma_k, \gamma_{1,k}^+ \right\}_1^3$ are given by (4.3), the operator $\gamma_{2,3}^+$ is given by formula (1.17), and $\left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\}_1^3$ are found by the formulas 4) (1.9) where $\tilde{\varphi}$ on every component acts in a standard way (3.19), (3.20).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the simple colligation Δ of Lie algebra (1.8), (1.9) is given, besides, $\dim E = 2n$, and the operators $\left\{ \sigma_k, \gamma_{1,k}^+ \right\}_1^3$ in E are given by (4.3) and condition (4.4) is true. And let the spectrum of operator A_1 lie at zero, and the characteristic function $S_1(\lambda)$ of operator A_1 be given by*

$$S_1(\lambda) = \int_0^{\bar{t}} \exp \frac{iJdF_t}{\lambda},$$

and be such that the measure dF_x is absolutely continuous, $dF_x = a_x dx$ and a_x equals (4.1). Then the colligation Δ is unitarily equivalent to the simple part of

functional model $\tilde{\Delta}$ (4.15) where the operators $\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{A}_3$ are given by (4.8), (4.11), (4.14) respectively.

II. Consider the linear operator bundle

$$\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3$$

which is a selfadjoint operator when $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $h(z, w)$ eigenvectors of the given bundle,

$$h(P)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) = wh(P), \quad (4.17)$$

where $P = (z, w)$ belongs to the algebraic curve \mathbb{Q} ,

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{P = (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \mathbb{Q}(z, w) = 0\}, \quad (4.18)$$

specified by the polynomial

$$\mathbb{Q}(z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \det(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3 - wI_n). \quad (4.19)$$

Suppose that the curve \mathbb{Q} is nonsingular [4], then $z = z(P)$ and $w = w(P)$ are correspondingly ' l -valued' and ' n -valued' functions on \mathbb{Q} ($l = \text{rank}\tilde{\gamma}_3$). Norm the rational function $h(P)$ (4.17) using the condition $h_n(P) = 1$ where $h_n(P)$ is the ' n th' component of vector $h(P)$. It is easy to show [4] that the quantity of poles (subject to multiplicity) of vector-function $h(P)$ equals $N = g + n - 1$ where g is type of the Riemann surface \mathbb{Q} (4.18). Isolate on \mathbb{Q} (4.18) analogues of the semi-planes \mathbb{C}_\pm and real axis \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mathbb{Q}_\pm = \{P = (z, w) \in \mathbb{Q} : \pm \text{Im}z(P) > 0\}; \quad \mathbb{Q}^0 = \partial\mathbb{Q}_\pm. \quad (4.20)$$

Roots $w^k(z)$ of the polynomial \mathbb{Q} , $(z, w^k(z)) = 0$, (4.19) are different when $z \in \mathbb{R}$ in virtue of non-singularity of the curve \mathbb{Q} (4.18) (excluding the points of branching). Therefore the eigenvectors $h(P_k)$ (4.17) corresponding to $P_k = (z, w^k(z)) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (4.18) are orthogonal. Therefore we can expand every vector-function $F(z) \in \mathcal{B}^n(A, B)$ in terms of the orthogonal basis $\{h(P_k)\}_1^n$,

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n g(P_k) \|h(P_k)\|_E^{-2} h(P_k), \quad (4.21)$$

where $g(P_k) = \langle F(z), h(P_k) \rangle_E$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$). It is easy to see that $w^k(z)$, $h(P_k)$ and $g(P_k)$ represent branches of the ' n -valued' algebraic functions $w(P)$, $h(P)$ and $g(P)$, respectively. In view of this, we can rewrite the last equality in the following form:

$$F(P) = F(z(P)) = g(P) \cdot \|h(P)\|_E^{-2} h(P). \quad (4.22)$$

Since the basis $h(P)$ in E^n is fixed, the function $F(P)$ is defined by the scalar component $g(P)$. Note that $g(P)$ is meromorphic on \mathbb{Q} (4.18) and its poles can

lie only at the poles of $h(P)$ (4.17), besides, their aggregate multiplicity does not exceed $N = g + n - 1$.

Construct the L. de Branges space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, B, h)$ corresponding to the Riemann surface \mathbb{Q} (4.18). Operator \tilde{A}_1 (4.8) in the space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, B, h)$ is given by

$$\left(\hat{A}_1 g\right)(P) = \frac{g(P) - \psi(P, P_0)g(P_0)}{z(P) - z(P_0)} \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$\psi(P, P_0) = \langle h(P_0), h(P) \rangle_{E^n} \cdot \|h(P)\|_{E^n}^{-2}, \quad (4.24)$$

besides, $P_0 = (0, w) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Similarly, operator \tilde{A}_3 (4.11) in the space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, B, h)$ is given by the formula

$$\left(\hat{A}_3 g\right)(P) = \frac{w(P)g(P) - w(P_0)\psi(P, P_0)g(P_0)}{z(P) - z(P_0)}, \quad (4.25)$$

besides, $\psi(P, P_0)$ is given by (4.24).

Now consider the operator \tilde{A}_2 (4.14). Let $\{h(P_k)\}_1^n$ be the orthogonal basis of eigenvectors (4.17),

$$h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) = w^k(z)h(P_k) \quad (4.26)$$

where $P_k = (z, w^k(z)) \in \mathbb{Q}$ (4.18) and $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (4.5) implies

$$w^k(z)h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2) = h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2^*)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3).$$

Taking into account (4.4), we can rewrite this equality in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & w^k(z)h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2) = \\ & = h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) - izh(P_k)\tilde{\sigma}_3(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) = \\ & = h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) + \\ & + iz^2w^k(z)h(P_k)\tilde{\gamma}_3(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) - iz(w^k(z))^2h(P_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

To simplify the last summand in this sum, differentiate equality (4.26) by z ,

$$h(P_k)\tilde{\gamma}_3 + h'(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) = (w^k(z))'h(P_k) + w^k(z)h'(P_k) \quad (4.28)$$

where prime signifies the derivative by z . Expand vector $h'(P_k)$ in terms of the basis $\{h(P_s)\}_1^n$:

$$h'(P_k) = \sum_{s=1}^n a(P_k, P_s) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s) \quad (4.29)$$

where

$$a(P_k, P_s) = \langle h'(P_k), h(P_s) \rangle_E. \quad (4.30)$$

Then (4.28) implies

$$h(P_k)\tilde{\gamma}_3 = (w^k(z))'h(P_k) + \sum_{s=1}^n a(P_k, P_s)(w^k(z) - w^s(z)) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s).$$

Now realize the expansion of vector $h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2)$ from (4.27) in terms of the basis $\{h(P_s)\}_1^n$:

$$h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2) = \sum_{s=1}^n b(P_k, P_s) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s) \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$a(P_k, P_s) = \langle h'(P_k), h(P_s) \rangle. \quad (4.30)$$

Then (4.28) yields

$$h(P_k)\tilde{\gamma}_3 = (w^k(z))' h(P_k) + \sum_{s=1}^n a(P_k, P_s) (w^k(z) - w^s(z)) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s).$$

Now realize expansion of the vector $h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2)$ from (4.27) in terms of the basis $\{h(P_s)\}_1^n$:

$$h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2) = \sum_{s=1}^n b(P_k, P_s) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s) \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$b(P_k, P_s) = \langle h'(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2), h(P_s) \rangle_E. \quad (4.32)$$

Then equality (4.27) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^n b(P_k, P_s) (w^k(z) - w^s(z)) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s) &= -iz (w^k(z))^2 h(P_k) + \\ &+ iz (w^k(z))' w^k(z) h(P_k) + \\ &+ iz^2 \sum_{s=1}^n a(P_k, P_s) (w^k(z) - w^s(z)) w^s(z) \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} h(P_s). \end{aligned}$$

Linear independence of $\{h(P_s)\}_1^n$ yields

$$\begin{cases} b(P_k, P_s) = iza(P_k, P_s)w^s(z) & (s \neq k); \\ w^k(z) = z(w^k(z))' & (s = k). \end{cases} \quad (4.33)$$

Using (4.27), it is easy to show that $b(P_k, P_k) = 0$.

Thus knowing the function $a(P_k, P_s)$ (4.30) defined by the vector-functions $h(P_k)$ (4.25), we can construct $b(P_k, P_s)$ and find expansion of the vector $h(P_k) \times (\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2)$:

$$h(P_k)(\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2) = iz \sum_{s=1}^n a(P_k, P_s) \cdot \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s). \quad (4.34)$$

This implies that action of the bundle $\tilde{\sigma}_2 + z\tilde{\gamma}_2$ on $F(z)$ (4.21) in terms of the components $g(P_k)$ appears as follows:

$$g(P_k) \longrightarrow izw^k(z) \sum_{s=1}^n g(P_s) a(P_k, P_s) \cdot \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s). \quad (4.35)$$

Now consider the second summand in (4.14), use (4.21), then

$$\begin{aligned} iz \frac{d}{dz} F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3) &= iz \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n g(P_k) \|h(P_k)\|_E^{-2} w^k(z) h(P_k) \right\} = \\ &= iz \sum_{k=1}^n (g(P_k) w^k(z)) \|h(P_k)\|_E^{-2} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot h(P_k) - 2iz \sum_{k=1}^n g(P_k) w^k(z) \cdot \|h(P_k)\|_E^{-3} \cdot \|h(P_k)\|_E^1 h(P_k) + \\ &\quad + iz \sum_{k=1}^n g(P_k) w^k(z) \cdot \|h(P_k)\|_E^{-2} \cdot \sum_{s=1}^n a(P_k, P_s) \cdot \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2} \cdot h(P_s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus action of the expression $\frac{d}{dz} F(z) (\tilde{\sigma}_3 + z\tilde{\gamma}_3)$ in terms of the scalar component $g(P_k)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} g(P_k) \longrightarrow &iz (w^k(z)g(P_k))' - 2izw^k(z)g(P_k) \|h(P_k)\|_E^{-1} \cdot \|h(P_k)\|_E^1 + \\ &+ iz \sum_{s=1}^n g(P_s) w^s(z) a(P_s, P_k) \cdot \|h(P_s)\|_E^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

To rewrite the formulas (4.35), (4.36) in a compact form, consider the kernel

$$a(P', P) = \left\langle \frac{d}{dz} h(P'), h(P) \right\rangle_E \quad (4.37)$$

coinciding with (4.30) as $P' = P_k$, $P = P_s$. Define action of this kernel on the function $g(P)$ in the following way:

$$(a * g)(P) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{P'} g(P') a(P', P) \cdot \|h(P')\|_E^{-2} \quad (4.38)$$

where P' varies over all the values (branches) of the function $g(P')$.

Now taking into account (4.35) and (4.36), we can write form of the operator \tilde{A}_2 , which, in view of (4.14), is given by

$$\left(\tilde{A}_2 g \right) (P) = \frac{iz(P)w(P)(a * g)(P) - iz(P_0)w(P_0)\psi(P, P_0)(a * g)(P_0)}{z(P) - z(P_0)} +$$

$$+iz(P)\frac{d}{dz}(w(P)g(P)) - 2iz(P)w(P)b(P)g(P) + iz(P)(a * g)(P) \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$b(P) = \|h(P)\|_E^{-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dz}\|h(P)\|. \quad (4.40)$$

Construct colligation of the Lie algebra (1.8), (1.9)

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \left(\left\{ \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \tilde{A}_3 \right\}; \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A, B, h); \tilde{\varphi}, E; \{\sigma_k\}_1^3, \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\}_1^3, \left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^+ \right\}_1^3 \right) \quad (4.41)$$

where the operators $\left\{ \sigma_k, \gamma_{1,k}^+ \right\}_1^3$ are given by (4.3), $\gamma_{2,3}^+$ is defined by formula (1.17), and the operators $\left\{ \gamma_{k,s}^- \right\}_1^3$ are defined from 4) (1.9), $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\varphi}g(P) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \langle g(P), e_k(z(P)) \rangle_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A,B,h)} \cdot e_k, \quad (4.42)$$

e_k are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(z) &= \frac{1-\alpha z}{z} B^*(\bar{z}); & e_2(z) &= \frac{1-\alpha z}{z} (1 - A^*(\bar{z})); \\ e_1 &= (1, 0); & e_2 &= (0, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that for the colligation Δ of Lie algebra (1.8), (1.9) requirements of Theorem 4.1 hold and let curve \mathbb{Q} (4.18) be non-singular, besides, $zw' = w(z)$. Then colligation Δ (1.8), (1.9) is unitarily equivalent to the simple part of colligation $\tilde{\Delta}$ (4.41) where operators \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2 and \tilde{A}_3 are given by (4.23), (4.25) and (4.39), respectively.*

In this work for a Lie algebra of linear non-selfadjoint operators $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ ($[A_1, A_2] = iA_3, [A_1, A_3] = 0, [A_2, A_3] = 0$) are obtained the following results.

1) The triangular model (2.1) for this Lie algebra in the space $L_{r,l}^2(F_x)$ is constructed.

2) In §3 using the triangular model from §2, the functional model (Theorem 3.1) for the studied in this chapter Lie algebra $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ is stated.

3) For special classes of Lie algebra $\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$, the functional model on Riemann surface in special L. de Branges spaces (Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2) is constructed.

REFERENCES

1. Livšic, M. S., A. A. Yantsevich. Theory of operator colligations in Hilbert spaces. Kharkov University Publising House, Kharkov, 1971, (in Russian).
2. Livšic, M. S., N. Kravitsky, A. Markus, V. Vinnikov. Theory of commuting non-selfadjoint operators. Kluwer acad. publ., Dordrecht – London, 1995.
3. Zolotarev, V. A. Analitic methods of spectral representations of non-selfadjoint and nonunitary operators. MagPress, Kharkov, 2003 (in Russian).
4. Zolotarev V. A. Lax – Fillips scattering scheme on groups and functional models of Lie algebra. *Mat. sb.*, 183:5, 1992, 115 – 144 (in Russian).
5. Zolotarev, V. A. Functional model of one Lie algebra. *Teoriya funktsij, funkts. analiz i ich pril.* Issue **58**, 1993, 80–86 (in Russian).
6. Zolotarev, V. A. Functional model for Lie algebra $ISO(1,1)$ of linear non-selfadjoint operators. *Mat. sb.* **186**, 1995, No 1, 76–106 (in Russian).
7. de Branges, L. Hilbert spaces of entire functions. Prentice-Hall, London, 1968.
8. Vaksman, L. L. On characteristic operator-functions of Lie algebras. *Vestnik Khark. un-ta. Ser. mat i mech.*, No. **33**, Issue 37, 1972, 41–45 (in Russian).
9. Vilenkin N. Ya. Special functions and theory of group representations. Nauka, M., 1991, (in Russian).
10. Vilenkin, N. Ya., A. U. Klimyk. Representations of Lie groups and special functions. *Itogi nauki i tehniki. Ser. Sovr. probl. mat. Fundam. napravleniya.* VINITI Vol. **59**, 1990, 145–264.
11. Springer, G. Introduction into theory of Riemann sufaces. Foreign Literature Publishing House, M., 1960 (in Russian).
12. Serr, Sh.-P. Lie algebras and Lie groups. Mir, M, 1969 (in Russian).

Received on March 29, 2010

V. N. Karazin Kharkov National University
 4, Svobody sq.
 61077 Kharkov
 UKRAINE
 E-mail: victoriya979@mail.ru